PLANO INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT DISTRICT RECONSIDERATION OF LIBRARY MATERIALS COMMITTEE REPORT

This report corresponds with the **Request for Reconsideration of Library Materials** designed for challenges to works made available for voluntary reading and inquiry in school libraries as described in **Board Policy EFB Local**. Challenges related to the instructional use of a work are addressed in **Board Policy EFA Local**. All reconsideration committee members must read, watch, or listen to (according to the item type) the challenged material in its entirety in order to participate.

Date of Committee Meeting:				
Title of Material:				
Author/I	Producer:			
SECT	TION I: Harmful & Obscene Content			
1.	Does the work include descriptions or representations of any of the content listed below? • sexual intercourse, including oral sex • sodomy (anal) • sexual bestiality • masturbation • excretory functions (sexual) • sadism • masochism • lewd exhibition of genitals • genitals in a state of sexual stimulation or arousal • covered male genitals in a discernibly turgid state • a device designed and marketed as useful primarily for stimulation of the human genital organs			
	 No - Stop. Continue to section II. Yes - Continue filling out this section. 			
2.	On a scale of 1-5, with 1 being not explicit and 5 being very explicit, how explicit or graphic is the description or depiction of sexual conduct contained in the material?			
3.	On a scale of 1-5, with 1 being a single or nominal depiction of sexual or excretory organs/activities and 5 being a predominance of sexual or excretory organs/activities depicted throughout the work, how prominent is the sexual conduct?			
4.	On a scale of 1-5, with 1 being "does not" and 5 being "very intentional", to what extent would a reasonable person find that the material intentionally panders to, titillates, or shocks the reader?			
5.	Does the dominant theme of the work, when taken as a whole, appeal to the prurient interest minor, in sex, nudity, or excretion? (Prurient definitions: Marked by or arousing an immoderate or unwholesome interest or desire. Having or showing too much interest in sex. Causing lasciviousness No Yes			
6.	Considering the dominant theme of the work as a whole, is the work LACKING serious literartistic, political, and scientific value? No Yes	rary,		

7. Considering the dominant theme of the work as a whole, is the work utterly WITHOUT redeeming social value for minors? No Yes
SECTION I SUMMARY:
 Do ALL 3 of these apply? The committee answered YES to question 5. The committee answered YES to either question 6 or 7 (or both). The TOTAL value from questions 2, 3, & 4 above equals 10-15.
 Yes - The book is considered harmful and/or obscene. Continue to section III. No - Continue to section II. In your final deliberations consider the content of section I and the degree to which the average adult in the Plano ISD community would be comfortable with a student (of the youngest age to which this book is available) reading the content.

SECTION II: Review of Selection Criteria					
Rating Scale (put NA if not applicable)					
1	2	3	4	5	
Title does not satisfy the criteria for the age range to which the title is currently available.	Title has a few attributes that satisfy the criteria for the age range to which the title is currently available.	Title as a whole is sufficient to satisfy the criteria for the age range to which the title is currently available.	Title as a whole is more than sufficient to satisfy the criteria for the age range to which the title is currently available.	Title as a whole excels at satisfying the criteria for the age range to which the title is currently available.	

Board Policy EFB (Local) Criteria			
1	To what degree does the work enrich and support the curriculum, by stimulating growth in factual knowledge, enjoyment of reading, literary appreciation, and aesthetic values.		
2	To what degree does the work take into consideration students' varied interests, abilities, learning styles, and maturity levels.		
3	To what degree does the work support a balanced library collection presenting multiple viewpoints related to controversial issues to foster critical thinking skills based on rational analysis.		
4	To what degree does the work represent ethnic, religious, and cultural groups and their contributions to the national heritage and world community.		
5	To what degree does the work provide a wide range of background information that will enable students to think critically and make informed decisions.		

6	To what degree does the work demonstrate literary merit, quality, value, and significance.		
7	To what degree has the work received favorable professional library reviews from state and nationally recognized review publications or a local review.		
8	To what degree does the work provide topics, authors, series, or genres that fill gaps in the school library collection.		
9	To what degree does the work include accurate and authentic factual content from authoritative sources.		
10	To what degree does the work have a high degree of potential user appeal and interest.		
11	Represent diverse viewpoints and cultures in campus collections to reflect the unique background of its student population.		
Т	Total of Lines 1-11		
SECTION 2 SUMMARY:			
Title dage not most criteria (1.24)			

- Title does not meet criteria (1-24) Title meets some criteria (25-44) Title meetings most/all criteria (45-60)

SECTION III: Final Decision				
Remove from all campus libraries	Title is considered harmful &/or obscene per section I. Title does not meet criteria Title meets some, most, or all criteria. Provide description of rationale below:			
Material remains in circulation at the following campus level(s):	☐ Title meets some, most, or all criteria (25-60) but is only age appropriate for certain campus levels. Provide description of rationale below.			
 □ Early Childhood □ Elementary Schools □ Middle Schools □ High Schools □ Senior High Schools 				
AND				
Remove material from libraries at the following level(s):				
Continue use of material at current campus level(s)	Title meets some, most, or all criteria (25-60). Provide description of rationale below.			

Committee Facilitator Name* (printed)	Committee Facilitator Signature	Date
*The Committee Facilitator guides the committee th answering procedural questions. The Facilitator doe	nrough the reconsideration process, providing pes not vote on the material in question.	olicy information and
Signatures of Reconsideration Committee	e Members:	
·		